

Revisions from improvements to Labour Force estimation method

Revision analysis of improvements to Labour Force estimation method

Released 21/03/2024

 **Source:** [Labour Force, Australia, February 2024](#)

On this page

- [Making greater use of auxiliary data sources](#)
- [Modelling method](#)
- [Revisions back to 2016](#)
- [Subsequent revisions in other ABS releases](#)
- [Ongoing review and exploration of improvements](#)

As part of ongoing improvements to the estimation method for Labour Force statistics, in this month's release, the ABS has implemented some minor changes in how it accounts for some relatively small population groups within the sample.

There are various small groups within the Labour Force Survey sample, which together account for less than 2 per cent of the overall sample, who are more difficult to survey each month, contribute a higher degree of underlying sampling variability and other measurement error, and for whom there is reliable auxiliary data sources (e.g. regular administrative data). This includes some people who don't live in private dwellings (e.g. people in prison, living in aged care homes, etc) and some people who live in remote and very remote parts of Australia.

Many, but not all, of these groups have a higher proportion of people who are not in the labour force. Any improvement in estimating their contribution within the sample will therefore result in an improvement in the aggregate estimate of persons not in the labour force and the participation rate, along with corresponding changes to the employed and unemployed estimates (but generally making little difference to the unemployment rate).

Making greater use of auxiliary data sources

The use of auxiliary data sources in Labour Force statistics coincides with an increasing shift towards multi-source and mixed-method approaches within ABS statistics and those of other national statistical organisations, given the breadth of data and methods which are now available.

The improved estimation model for Labour Force statistics draws on a range of ABS directly collected data (including some Census data) along with administrative data sources (e.g. counts of prisoners, counts of aged care residents, etc), for some sub-population groups.

Using a range of auxiliary data sources has the dual benefits of using existing information that provide a reliable

ongoing measure of the contribution for some sub-population groups that can work in concert with the rest of the Labour Force Survey sample, while also reducing the reporting burden on some of these groups. For example, not collecting survey data from prison populations, given there are already regular and more systematic administrative reporting activities.

Modelling method

The method to estimate a monthly contribution for these small population groups uses auxiliary data sources from the range of auxiliary datasets, in conjunction with the Labour Force Survey sample. This improves the contribution for less than 2 per cent of the sample, while more than 98 per cent of the sample will continue to reflect the survey data collected in the month.

The modelling method involves using the data to estimate individual unit contributions to the sample, which are then calibrated to independent population-specific benchmarks, which in turn then feed into existing weighting methods (along with most of the Labour Force Survey sample) to create more timely and representative estimates of the Australian population.

Revisions back to 2016

ABS analysis has shown that the new estimation model, by drawing on a more representative and stable contribution for these groups from auxiliary data, can produce better estimates in Labour Force statistics, with reduced variability.

In addition to providing a robust foundation for future estimation, ABS analysis has also shown that the new method also delivers a discernible improvement during the pandemic period, when some of these population groups were more difficult to enumerate, as well as the period leading into the pandemic.


While not specifically a change designed and developed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the new estimation model better accounts for minor residual amounts of non-response bias for some of these groups of people during the pandemic, compared with the existing methodology. Beyond this, it also addresses increasing levels and variation in non-response for these groups related to factors such as weather events and natural disasters, business pressures affecting the availability of non-private dwelling contacts and administrators, among other factors, which have been increasingly observed since 2018. In light of this, the ABS has implemented the improved estimation method for February 2024, but also applied it to estimates going back to August 2016 to align it with revisions related to the major five-yearly population rebenchmarking.

This change in the estimation model results in a small improvement in the quality of estimates, but with minimal effect on headline Labour Force level estimates and the change they show in the labour market over time.


Using November 2023 as an example, the number of employed people, in original terms, was revised down slightly by around 0.4 per cent (around 60,000 people), with the number of unemployed people revised up by 0.4 per cent (around 2,000 people) and the number of people not in the labour force revised up by 0.8 per cent (around 58,000 people).

Revisions to the key measures vary but are generally relatively small at the national level. Below are some graphs showing revisions to the employment to population ratio, participation rate and unemployment rate for Australia.


Employment-to-population ratio, Seasonally adjusted



Participation rate, Seasonally adjusted



Unemployment rate, Seasonally adjusted




At the state and territory level, the changes are relatively similar to the national level in most instances – with the extent of revisions lowest in Victoria and highest in the Northern Territory.

Larger revisions to Northern Territory data


While the revisions are relatively small at the national level and for many jurisdictions, they are considerably larger for the Northern Territory. This is because the difficult to enumerate populations account for a higher proportion of the sample in the Northern Territory, and an improvement in the estimation of their contribution will therefore result in larger relative improvements in the aggregate Labour Force statistics (particularly people who are not in the labour force, but also unemployed people).

As can be seen in the graphs below, the improved estimation method provides both a better level estimate for Northern Territory Labour Force statistics and generally reduces the average month-to-month variability in the key indicators by around one quarter to one third.


Employment-to-population ratio, Northern Territory, Seasonally adjusted



Participation rate, Northern Territory, Seasonally adjusted



Unemployment rate, Northern Territory, Seasonally adjusted



For most indicators, these revisions reflect a 'level shift' from the previously published estimates to the improved estimates, but generally with a relatively consistent underlying pattern of increasing or decreasing changes over time.

As with any time series revisions, such as those from quarterly rebenchmarking, the ABS recommends using the latest available data. For example, comparisons of changes in the unemployment rate for the Northern Territory should use the latest available estimates, and not compare revised and unrevised data (which would generally overstate the extent of change).

Subsequent revisions in other ABS releases

The improved estimation method and the rebenchmarking process, and their resulting revisions to Labour Force statistics, will be reflected in all releases that use these statistics as an input (e.g. the Labour Account) or as a source of calibration for weighting and estimation (e.g. supplementary survey data, such as Participation, Jobs Search and Mobility, and Characteristics of Employment).

The modelled unit records for the roughly 2 per cent of the sample will also contribute to the Longitudinal Labour Force microdata. This will be done using a stratified random sample of units from the pool of individual modelled units, which will then be calibrated to independent population-specific benchmarks. As a result, there will be a slight but unavoidable discrepancy between the aggregates produced from the Longitudinal Labour Force microdata and the aggregates in the summary Labour Force statistics in this release and the detailed Labour Force release.

Ongoing review and exploration of improvements

The ABS will monitor the performance of this new method as part of its regular quality assurance processes for Labour Force statistics.

There will also be further exploration of potential auxiliary data sources and methods, as part of the regular annual review program (as the ABS has also historically done for its seasonal adjustment and time series methods).

